RESISTANCE SCREENING CENTER 1984 STATUS REPORT

Carol H. Young
Robert L. Anderson

Three hundred and seventeen operational seedlots were received for fusiform rust resistance screening at the USDA Forest Service's Resistance Screening Center since January 1983. A listing of the numbers of seedlots screened in operational (by client), research, and developmental tests by year for all years of operation is provided in Table 1.

As of December 30, 1983, screening of 557 of 577 seedlots received in 1982 have been completed. The design of these tests included six 20-tree trays of each seedlot; three in each of two runs. Runs were one day apart. Basidiospores were derived from a composite of aeciospores collected from 30 galls at 3 locations within predefined 2-4 county areas. Slash pine lots were inoculated with a spore suspension of 20,000 spores per milliliter; loblolly pine with 50,000 spores per milliliter.

All operational screening tests were analyzed using the following model.

$$Y_{jk} = u + S_j + R_k + SR_{jk} + e_{i(jk)}$$

where;

Y_{jk} = the observation on the ith tray of the jth seedlot in the kth run

u = the general mean

S_j = the effect of the jth seedlot

R_k = the effect of the kth run

SR_{jk} = the effect of the interaction between the jth seedlot and the environmental conditions of the kth run

$e_{i(jk)}$ = the effect of the ith tray within the jth seedlot and the kth run

Table 1.--Number of seedlots screened in operational, research, and developmental tests at the Resistance Screening Center in 11 years of operation.

Year [1]	operational		Research [3]	Develop-mental [3]	All Tests
	Client	No. Lots [2]			
1974	N.C. State Co-op	324			
	U. of Fla. Co-op	108			
	Texas Forest Serv.	110			
	Ala. For. Comm.	66			
	National Forest	50			
	Int. Paper Co.	30			
	WGFTIP	30			
	Westvaco	15			
	TOTAL	733	0	0	733
1975	N.C. State Co-op	322			
	U. of Fla. Co-op	54			
	Ala. For. Comm.	36			
	Texas Forest Serv.	12			
	TOTAL	424	108	45	577
1976	Ga. For. Comm.	233			
	National Forest	174			
	Int. Paper Co.	107			
	Texas Forest Serv.	52			
	N.C. State Co-op	49			
	U. of Fla. Co-op	20			
	Ala. For. Comm.	17			
	TOTAL	652	281	33	966
1977	National Forest	125			
	St. Regis	95			
	N.C. State Co-op	70			
	Ga. For. Comm.	53			
	Ala. For. Comm.	38			
	Int. Paper Co.	31			
	Westvaco	8			
	U. of Fla.	5			
	TOTAL	425	25	87	537
1978	Int. Paper Co.	121			
	SEFES	48			
	Ga. For. Comm.	32			
	Texas Forest Serv.	38			
	N.C. State Co-op	20			
	TOTAL	259	45	422	726

Table 1.--(con't)

Year	Client	No. Lots	Research	Develop-mental	All Tests
		operational			
1979	Int. Paper Co.	47			
	Auburn U.	23			
	Ala. For. Comm.	16			
	TOTAL	86	67	55	208
1980	Ga. For. Comm.	171			
	Int. Paper Co.	152			
	Gulf State Paper	47			
	WGFTIP	36			
	Ala. For. Comm.	32			
	St. Regis Paper Co.	20			
	Texas A&M U.	6			
	TOTAL	464	285	139	888
1981	WGFTIP	83			
	USFS	179			
	TOTAL	262	161	424	847
1982	Ga. For. Comm.	63			
	Georgia-Pacific	47			
	Union Camp	40			
	USDA Forest Service	300			
	WGFTIP	85			
	Weyerhaeuser	42			
	TOTAL	577	259	29	865
1983	Buckeye Corp.	21			
	Champion	49			
	Container Corp.	41			
	Fla. Div. of Forestry	3			
	Int. Paper Co.		123		
	St. Regis Corp.	74			
	Union Camp	58			
	Univ. Fla.	37	8		
	WGFTIP	34			
	RSC			73	
	TOTAL	317	131	73	541

Table 1.--(con't)

Year	operational Client	No. Lots	Research	Develop-mental	All Tests
1984 [4]	Crown Zellerbach		20		
	SFES		30		
	Univ. of Fla.	25	15		
	Union Camp	55	65	—	—
	TOTAL	80	65		145

1/ This is the year in which operational seedlots were received, and the year in which research and developmental tests were inoculated.

2/ Check seedlots were included in figures for operational lots received in 1974-1977, but not for those received in 1978-1984.

3/ Since experimental designs for research and developmental tests were most often different from the operational screening test design, the total number of trays in these tests was divided by 6 to obtain "seedlot equivalents."

4/ Seedlots received or pledged for screening as of 1/1/84.

For all tests, one observation consisted of percent galled per 20-tree planting trays. The analysis of variance for balanced tests is as follows:

Source	d.f.	Expected Mean Squares
Runs	$(r-1)$	$O_W^2 + mO_{RxS}^2 + msO_R^2$
Seedlots	$(s-1)$	$O_W^2 + mO_{RxS}^2 + mrO_S^2$
Runs x seedlots (experimental error)	$(r-1)(s-1)$	$O_W^2 + mO_{RxS}^2$
Trays within runs and seedlots (sampling error)	$rs(m-1)$	O_W^2
TOTAL	$rsm-1$	

where;

r = number of runs = 2

s = number of seedlots

m = number of trays per seedlot per run = 3

Missing trays were estimated by the average percent galled of the non-missing trays of the same seedlot in the same run and the degrees of freedom adjusted as in Yandle's 1978 "Statistical Analysis of Fusiform Rust Screening Tests."

The magnitude of the experimental error, O_{RxS}^2, in this analysis for percent galled can be used as a measure of the accuracy and value of a greenhouse test.

Repeatability of seedlot means over runs was estimated using the following formula:

$$\text{Repeatability} = \frac{O_S^2}{O_P^2} = \frac{O_S^2}{O_S^2 + \dfrac{O_{RxS}^2}{r} + \dfrac{O_W^2}{m}}$$

where;

O_P^2 = the estimated phenotypic variance of seedlot means

The concept of repeatability is designed to measure how consistent measurements on a trait are over time (Falconer, 1960; Turner and Young, 1969). Repeatability is the proportion of the total phenotypic variation which can be attributed to the variation among seedlot means. Assuming that variability among seedlots is the same in any year, repeatability can be interpreted in

this application as a measure of agreement between data from the two runs. For percent galled data, it can also serve as a measure of the accuracy and value of a greenhouse test.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONAL SCREENING TESTS
COMPLETED BETWEEN JANUARY AND DECEMBER 1983

Seedlot differences were significant ($P \leq .05$) in all tests completed between January and December 1983 (Table 2). All tests showed an acceptable repeatability (.58 - .94).

All tests, with one exception, showed a non-significant experimental error. The test with the significant run by seedlot interaction had a repeatability of .73, indicating, that even with the significant interaction, seedlot differences could account for 73 percent of the total variation. One seedlot out of 20 seedlots in this test appeared to be ranked differently in the two runs. In an analysis of variance of this test, without this seedlot, the interaction was no longer significant, the experimental error dropped from 51 to 36, and the repeatability was .78. This indicates that the resistance information for the remaining 19 families is reliable.

DISCUSSION

Percent galled data indicated that Resistance Screening Center tests completed from January to December 1983 provided reliable information. Statistics for these tests were similar to tests in Resistance Screening Center operational years 5 through 9 (Hubbard, 1980 & 1981; Young, Hubbard, and Anderson, 1982; Anderson and Young, 1983).

Table 2.--Summary statistics for Resistance Screening Center operational screening tests completed between January and December 1983.

	Pine Species	
	Slash	Loblolly
No. tests	9	10
Avg. no. seedlots per test	29	31
range	20-47	15-37
total	264	306
Avg. test mean % galled	70	77
range	41-94	61-92
Avg. difference between highest and lowest seedlot means	50	48
range	31-64	36-59
% tests with sig. diff. between seedlot means (P $\leq$ .05)	100	100
% tests with sig. diff. between run means (P $\leq$ .05)	56	30
% tests with sig. Run x Seedlot interaction (P $\leq$ .05)	11[2]	0
Avg. experimental error variation[1]	15.04	1.28
range	0-51	0-4.4
Avg. sampling error[1]	133.04	115.03
range	33-180	42-334
Avg. repeatability of seedlot means	.82	.88
range	.58-.92	.83-.94

[1] Average is weighted by degrees of freedom.

[2] Deletion of one seedlot from one test caused run x seedlot interaction to disappear, thus making % tests with sig. Run x Seedlot interaction (P $\leq$ .05) for slash equal 0.

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, R. L. and Young, C. H. 1983. Resistance Screening Center 1983 Status Report. USDA Forest Service, Forest Pest Management, Report No. 83-1-12, January 1983.

Falconer, D. S. 1960. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Ronald Press, New York. 365 p.

Hubbard, S. D. 1980. Resistance Screening Center status report presented to Resistance Screening Center Technical Steering Committee on February 12, 1980. USDA Forest Service, Forest Pest Management, Report No. 80-1-22, August 1980.

Hubbard, S. D. 1981. Resistance Screening Center status report presented to Resistance Screening Center Technical Steering Committee on April 13, 1981. USDA Forest Service, Forest Pest Management, Report No. 81-1-25, April 1981.

Turner, H. N. and S. S. Y. Young. 1969. Quantitative genetics in sheep breeding. Cornell University Press.

Yandle, David O. 1978. Final report: statistical analysis of fusiform rust screening tests. (Copies available from Resistance Screening Center, Asheville, N.C.).

Young, C. H., S. D. Carson, and R. L. Anderson. 1982. Resistance Screening Center Status Report presented to Resistance Screening Center Technical Steering Committee on February 17, 1982. USDA Forest Service, Forest Pest Management, Report No. 82-1-17, February 1982.

Forest Pest Management
Asheville Field Office

Report #84-1-2
January 1984

RESISTANCE SCREENING CENTER 1984 STATUS REPORT

Prepared by: *Carol H. Young*
Biological Laboratory Technician

Robert L. Anderson
RSC Manager

Approved by: *Harold W. Flake*
for Harvey V. Toko
Staff Director
Forest Pest Management